Introduction

On July 1, 1839, fifty-three Africans, recently kidnapped into slavery in Sierra Leone and sold at a Havana slave market, revolted on board the schooner *Amistad*. They killed the captain and other crew and ordered the two Spaniards who had purchased them to sail them back to Africa. Instead, the ship was seized off Long Island by a US revenue cutter on August 24, 1839. The *Amistad* was then landed in New London, Connecticut, where the American cutter's captain filed for salvage rights to the *Amistad*'s cargo of Africans. The two Spaniards claimed ownership themselves, while Spanish authorities demanded the Africans be extradited to Cuba and tried for murder.

Connecticut jailed the Africans and charged them with murder. The slave trade had been outlawed in the United States since 1808, but the institution of slavery itself thrived in the South. The *Amistad* case entered the federal courts and caught the nation's attention. The murder charges against the *Amistad* captives were quickly dropped, but they remained in custody as the legal focus turned to the property rights claimed by various parties. President Martin Van Buren issued an order of extradition, per Spain's wishes, but the New Haven federal court's decision preempted the return of the captives to Cuba. The court ruled that no one owned the Africans because they had been illegally enslaved and transported to the New World. The Van Buren administration appealed the decision, and the case came before the US Supreme Court in January 1841.

Abolitionists enlisted former US President John Quincy Adams to represent the *Amistad* captives' petition for freedom before the Supreme Court. Adams, then a 73-year-old US Congressman from Massachusetts, had in recent years fought tirelessly against Congress's "gag rule" banning anti-slavery petitions. Here, Adams accepts the job of representing the *Amistad* captives, hoping he will "do justice to their cause." Adams spoke before the Court for nine hours and succeeded in moving the majority to decide in favor of freeing the captives once and for all. The Court ordered the thirty surviving captives (the others had died at sea or in jail) returned to their home in Sierra Leone.

Excerpt

I have received your obliging Letters of the 2d. and 4th: inst[an]t together with the narrative of the case to be tried before the Supreme Court of the United States, at their next January session, of the Captives of the Amistad.

I consented with extreme reluctance at the urgent request of Mr. Lewis Tappan and Mr. Ellis

John Quincy Adams and the Amistad case, 1841

Gray Loring, to appear before the Court as one of the Counsel for these unfortunate men. My reluctance was founded entirely and exclusively upon the consciousness of my own incompetency to do justice to their cause. In every other point of view there is in my estimation no higher object upon earth of ambition than to occupy that position.

Questions for Discussion

Read the document introduction and transcript and apply your knowledge of American history in order to answer these questions.

- 1. Create a mock trial in which students prepare arguments in Federal District Court representing the captain of the American ship that intercepted the *Amistad*, the two Spanish officers, and the Spanish government.
- 2. Compare the arguments before the Supreme Court of the Van Buren administration and John Quincy Adams.
- 3. Explain the reactions of slaveholders and abolitionists to the decision of the Supreme Court.
- 4. What did Adams mean when he said, "There is in my estimation no higher object upon earth of ambition than to occupy that position"?

Image

Roger & Baldwin Esq. New Staven Connt Boston 11. New 1840 Dear Sir I have received your obliging Letters of the 2. and 4th inst together with the narrative of the case to betried before the Supreme Court of the United States, at their next gameary Segsion, of the Captives of the Amistad I conferred with extreme reluctance at the ungest request of she Louis Tappar and she Ellis Gray Loving, to appear before the Court as one of the Course for these wifortunate man my reluctance was founded entirely and exclusively upon the conquiousmess of my own incompatancy to cloqueties to their cause Inverse other point of view there is in my estimation no higher object upon said of ambition than to occupy that position.

9 expect to leave this city next I handay, the 16 th inst for Hartford; and hope to be the next I horning Tuesday the 1y at Now Frances - I ghall than desire to greated converge with you concerning the case and will is necessary downto the day to that object I have engaged to be at New york on the 10th. I amewith great respect Dear Fir your about " Serot 3. 2. Adams

John Quincy Adams to Roger S. Baldwin, November 11, 1840. (Gilder Lehrman Collection, GLC00582)

John Quincy Adams and the Amistad case, 1841

Transcript

John Quincy Adams to Roger S. Baldwin, November 11, 1840. (Gilder Lehrman Collection, GLC00582)

Roger S. Baldwin, Esq^r. New Haven Conn^t.

Boston 11. Nov^r. 1840.

Dear Sir

I have received your obliging Letters of the 2^d. and 4th: inst^t together with the narrative of the case to be tried before the Supreme Court of the United States, at their next January Session, of the Captives of the Amistad.

I consented with extreme reluctance at the urgent request of M^r Lewis Tappan and M^r Ellis Gray Loring, to appear before the Court as one of the Counsel for these unfortunate men; My reluctance was founded entirely and exclusively upon the consciousness of my own incompetency to do justice to their cause, In every other point of view there is in my estimation no higher object upon earth of ambition than to occupy that position.

I expect to leave this city next Monday the 16th. inst^t. for Hartford; and hope to be the next Morning Tuesday the 17th. at New Haven – I shall then desire to see and converse with you concerning the case and will if necessary devote the day to that object I have engaged to be at New York on the 18th.

I am with great respect Dear Sir Your obed. *Serv*

J. O. Adams